

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15407/techned2016.03.033>

THE MATRIX CONVERTER INPUT CURRENT FORMATION IN THE CASE OF INPUT VOLTAGE DISTORTIONS

Journal	Tekhnichna elektrodynamika
Publisher	Institute of Electrodynamics National Academy of Science of Ukraine
ISSN	1607-7970 (print), 2218-1903 (online)
Issue	№ 3, 2016 (May/June)
Pages	33 – 35

Authors

V.M.Mykhalskyi^{1*}, V.M.Sobolev¹, V.V.Chopyk¹, I.A.Shapoval^{1}, M.Yu. Artemenko²**

¹ – Institute of Electrodynamics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
Peremohy pr., 56, Kyiv-57, 03680, Ukraine,
e-mail: mikhalsky@ied.org.ua

² – National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI",
Peremohy pr., 37, Kyiv, 03056, Ukraine,
e-mail: artemenko_m_ju@ukr.net

ORCID ID: * <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8251-3111>

ORCID ID: ** <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9107-5061>

Abstract

The matrix converter (MC) control methods based on MC input reactive power control algorithm, which aims to improve the quality of the MC input currents under conditions of non-sinusoidal voltages of the power network have been developed and studied. With this purpose, variants of setting the direction of the input current space vector relative to the input voltage space

vector by appropriate regulation of the reactive component of the current have been considered. The simulation showed the effectiveness of the proposed approach to improve the quality of the MC input currents. References 7, figures 2, table 1.

Key words: matrix converter, input current, reactive power, non-sinusoidal input voltage.

Received: 25.01.2016

Accepted: 23.03.2016

Published: 25.04.2016

References

1. Mykhalskyi V.M., Sobolev V.M., Shapoval I.A., Chopyk V.V. Maximizing the control range of a matrix converter. *Tekhnichna Elektrodynamika*. 2015. No 1. P. 7–13. (Ukr)
2. Blaabjerg F., Casadei D., Klumpner C., Matteini M. Comparison of two current modulation strategies for matrix converters under unbalanced input voltage conditions. *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*. April 2002. Vol. 49. No 2. P. 289–295. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/41.993261>
3. Casadei D., Serra G., Tani A. A general approach for the analysis of the input power quality in matrix converters. Proc. IEEE-PESC '96, Baveno, Italy. June 23-27, 1996. Vol. II. P. 1128–1134. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/PESC.1996.548723>
4. Casadei D., Serra G., Tani A. Reduction of the input current harmonic content in matrix converters under input/output unbalance. Proc. of IEEE-IECON '95, Orlando, Florida. 6-10 Nov. 1995. Vol. I. P. 457–462. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.1995.483452>
5. Casadei D., Serra G., Tani A. Reduction of the input current harmonic content in matrix

converters under input/output unbalance.

IEEE Trans. on

Industrial Electronics

. June 1998. Vol. 45. No 3. P. 401–411. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1109/41.678998>

6. Mykhalskyi V.M., Sobolev V.M., Chopyk V.V., Polishchuk S.Y., Shapoval I.A. Matrix converter control strategy maximizing reactive power transfer. Proceeding of the International Conference on *Intelligent Energy and Power Systems* (IEPS). Kyiv (Ukraine). June 02-06, 2014. P. 26–31. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1109/IEPS.2014.6874193>

7. Nielsen P., Casadei D., Serra G., Tani A. Evaluation of the input current quality by three different modulation strategies for SVM controlled matrix converters with input voltage unbalance. Proc. of IEEE-PEDES '96, New Delhi, India, Jan. 8-11, 1996. Vol. II. P. 794–800.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/PEDES.1996.536375>

[PDF](#)